

PERSPECTIVE A PUBLICATION OF SHOOK, HARDY & BACON LL.P

October 3, 2014

## FOCUS ON EEOC LITIGATION

SHB's National Employment & Policy Practice Represents Corporate Employers Exclusively



## MANUFACTURER LACKS STANDING TO SUE OVER EEOC EMAIL BLAST

This Newsletter is prepared by Shook, Hardy & Bacon's National Employment Litigation & Policy Practice<sup>sm</sup>. Contributors to this issue: <u>William C. Martucci</u> and <u>Cort VanOstran</u>.

> Contact us by e-mail to request additional documentation or unsubscribe.

Attorneys in the Employment Litigation & Policy Practice represent corporate employers throughout the United States in all types of employment matters. To learn more, please visit <u>SHB.com</u>. In a move that could have considerable ramifications for future discrimination claims, a federal judge has dismissed a manufacturer's lawsuit against the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) stemming from an EEOC email blast directed at 1,169 of the manufacturer's employees.

Heavy equipment manufacturer Case New Holland, Inc. (CNH) filed the suit (*Case New Holland Industrial, Inc. v. Equal Opportunity Employment Commission*) after the EEOC sent a 10-question email survey to CNH employees and past job applicants in June 2013. As alleged in CNH's Complaint, the EEOC violated the Fourth Amendment, the "takings" clause of the Fifth Amendment, and its own Compliance Manual when it used CNH's computer network without authorization to send the blast. CNH accused the EEOC of "trolling for plaintiffs to commence a class action against CNH." The EEOC characterized the email blast, which centered around possible age bias within the company, as an investigatory technique well within its authority.

District of Columbia U.S. District Judge Reggie B. Walton rejected CNH's claims, granting the EEOC's motion to dismiss and ruling that the company lacked standing to challenge the EEOC because it hadn't shown injury from the EEOC's actions. Judge Walton called the alleged injuries "generalities and speculation" in his ruling from the bench, and deemed the mass email "the least invasive way" for the EEOC to carry out its function.

Judge Walton's ruling stated that it would be followed by a written opinion in 30 to 60 days. The case has been docketed as 1:13-cv-01176, in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia.

Judge Walton's ruling, if allowed to stand, seems likely to embolden the EEOC to take new, possibly unprecedented measures in collecting employee data.

Denver | Geneva | Houston | Kansas City | London | Miami | Orange County Philadelphia | San Francisco | Seattle | Tampa | Washington, D.C

Shook, Hardy & Bacon L.L.P. respects the privacy of our clients and friends. Your contact information is maintained in our database and may be used to advise you of firm news, events and services, as well as for internal statistical analysis. We may forward contact details to our appointed marketing agencies but will not provide this information to any other party for marketing or any other purposes as required by law. If you wish to correct your information or would like to be removed from our database, please contact us at <u>interaction@shb.com</u>.